An optional fast track process for receipt, consideration, and resolution of ethics complaints is now available to associations interested in further expediting the processing of an ethics complaint.

Those associations implementing the fast track process will want to rely on the following pages which correspond with the 2018 *Code of Ethics and Arbitration Manual*. You will note that the first Section that needs to be changed to accommodate the fast track is Section 15, Grievance Committee, and the next change to accommodate the fast track does not appear until Section 19 (c), Grievance Committee Review of and Ethics Complainant, although the Sections between Section 15 and 19 are also included in this supplement. This is to alleviate the need for the user to flip back and forth between this supplement and the 2018 *Code of Ethics and Arbitration Manual*. The same is true of the forms; all ethics forms are included for your convenience but only some of them have been updated to reflect the fast track time frames as necessary (see shading).

To assist boards in comparing the enhanced time frames for processing ethics complaints with the fast track time frames, this supplement also includes a chart to assist those contemplating adopting some or all of the fast track process. Associations will want to follow whatever time frames they adopt for all ethics complaints, although they can observe the enhanced time frames for some of the process and follow the fast track time frames for other parts of the process. As an example, as association could adopt the fast track policy of bringing ethics complaints to the grievance committee within ten days from receipt of the complaint (as opposed to not later than 45 days after receipt of the complaint) but allow a complainant that has his or her ethics complaint dismissed 20 days from transmittal of the dismissal notice to appeal (as opposed to 10 days from transmittal of the dismissal notice, which is the time frame observed in fast track). What is important is that all parties are provided with the same process at the association.
Section 15. Selection and Appointment of the Grievance Committee

There will be a standing committee, known as the Grievance Committee, of at least ________________ Board Members, in good standing, of whom at least a majority shall be Realtors®. The members of the committee shall be appointed by the President, subject to confirmation by the Board of Directors, for staggered three (3) year terms. The committee shall annually select its own Chairperson and Vice Chairperson (or, alternatively, the President shall annually designate the Chairperson and Vice Chairperson of the committee). The Grievance Committee will review complaints within ten (10) days of receipt of the complaint. (Revised 05/15)

In selecting members of the Grievance Committee, the President should consider the following recommended criteria:

• number of years as a Realtor®
• number of years in the real estate business
• primary and secondary fields of real estate endeavor/ expertise
• participation in post-licensing real estate education
• training in the Code of Ethics
• position in firm (principal, nonprincipal)
• size of firm
• common sense
• open-mindedness
• familiarity with state(s) law and regulations
• receptiveness to instruction/training
• other relevant professional or procedural training

The committee should have balanced representation of Realtors®, Realtor-Associate®s, men, and women, and should include representatives of various racial and ethnic groups. Committee members should be mature, experienced, knowledgeable persons of a judicial temperament. It is suggested that, to the extent practical, members of the Grievance Committee not serve simultaneously on the Professional Standards Committee or on the Board of Directors to avoid conflict with the prohibition on serving on more than one (1) tribunal in the same matter. (Revised 11/96)

Section 16. Selection and Appointment of the Professional Standards Committee

There shall be a Professional Standards Committee of at least ________________ Board Members, in good standing, of whom at least a majority shall be Realtors®, appointed by the President, subject to confirmation by the Board of Directors. Members of the Professional Standards Committee shall be selected to serve on Hearing Panels as required to hear matters of alleged unethical conduct by Board Members or to provide arbitration as requested. The committee shall annually select its own Chairperson and Vice Chairperson (or, alternatively, the President shall annually designate the Chairperson and Vice Chairperson of the committee).

*In Boards with larger memberships, it is desirable for a larger committee to be named to avoid an overload of work upon any individual which could result from the greater number of hearings in these Boards. In such Boards, an uneven number of members from the Professional Standards Committee may be appointed to constitute a Hearing Panel for each case to be heard. (Revised 11/92)
In selecting members of the Professional Standards Committee, the President should consider the following recommended criteria:

- number of years as a Realtor®
- number of years in the real estate business
- primary and secondary fields of real estate endeavor/expertise
- participation in post-licensing real estate education
- training in the Code of Ethics
- position in firm (principal, nonprincipal)
- size of firm
- common sense
- open-mindedness
- familiarity with state(s) laws and regulations
- receptiveness to instruction/training
- other relevant professional or procedural training

The committee should have balanced representation of Realtors®, Realtor-Associate®s, men, and women, and should include representatives of various racial and ethnic groups. Committee members should be mature, experienced, knowledgeable persons of a judicial temperament. It is suggested that, to the extent practical, members of the Professional Standards Committee not serve simultaneously on the Grievance Committee or on the Board of Directors to avoid conflict with the prohibition on serving on more than one (1) tribunal in the same matter. (Revised 11/96)
Part Three—The Grievance Committee in Ethics Proceedings

Section 17. Authority

The Grievance Committee is established in Part Two, Section 15 and Part Eight, Section 38 of this Manual, which provide in part:

There will be a standing committee, known as the Grievance Committee, of at least __________ Board Members in good standing, of whom at least a majority shall be Realtors®. The members of the committee shall be appointed by the President, subject to confirmation by the Board of Directors, for staggered three (3) year terms. The committee shall annually select its own Chairperson and Vice Chairperson (or, alternatively, the President shall annually designate the Chairperson and Vice Chairperson of the committee).

Section 18. Function

The function of the Grievance Committee is clearly distinguishable from the function of the Professional Standards Committee. The Professional Standards Committee makes decisions on matters involving ethics or arbitration. (Revised 05/15)

The Grievance Committee receives ethics complaints and arbitration requests to determine if, taken as true on their face, a hearing is to be warranted. The Grievance Committee makes only such preliminary evaluation as is necessary to make these decisions. While the Grievance Committee has meetings, it does not hold hearings, does not decide whether members have violated the Code of Ethics, and does not dismiss ethics complaints because of lack of evidence. Complainants are not required to prove their case upon submission of their ethics complaint or arbitration request. The Grievance Committee does not mediate or arbitrate business disputes. The Grievance Committee will review complaints within ten (10) days of receipt of the complaint. (Revised 05/15)

In evaluating ethics complaints, the Grievance Committee may require a written response from the respondent(s) only if the committee is in need of additional information pertaining to the questions in Section 19, Grievance Committee’s Review of an Ethics Complaint, and the complaint cannot provide such information. In such instances the respondent(s) should be provided with a copy of the ethics complaint and advised that failure to respond may be the basis for a charge of having violated Article 14 of the Code of Ethics. (See Form #E-4, Grievance Committee Request for Information [Ethics Complaint] and Form #E-5, Response to Grievance Committee Request for Information, Part Six of this Manual.) In evaluating arbitration requests, the Grievance Committee may request a written response to the arbitration request from the respondent(s) only if the committee is in need of additional information pertaining to the questions in Section 42, Grievance Committee’s Review and Analysis of a Request for Arbitration, and the complainant cannot provide such information. (See Form #A-5, Grievance Committee Request for Information [Arbitration Request] and Form #A-6, Response to Grievance Committee Request for Information, Part Thirteen of this Manual.) If no response is filed within the time allotted, the Grievance Committee shall make its determination as to whether an arbitration hearing should be scheduled based upon the information set forth in the arbitration request. (Revised 11/15)
When Grievance Committees refer ethics complaints and arbitration requests for hearing, hearing panel chairs can determine if questions about

(1) whether ethics complaints and arbitration requests are timely filed,
(2) whether arbitrable issues exist,
(3) whether arbitration requests are too legally complex to be fairly arbitrated, and
(4) other administrative issues

will be addressed through a pre-hearing meeting of the hearing panel or at the outset of the hearing prior to testimony relating to the ethics complaint or arbitration request commencing. If these matters rise during a hearing, the hearing panel will address them at that time.

Dismissals of ethics complaints and arbitration requests by hearing panels can be appealed to the Board of Directors on the same bases as dismissals by the Grievance Committee.

Where such issues are considered at a pre-hearing meeting of the hearing panel, the chair will determine whether the parties may be present, and the extent to which their participation will be permitted. *(Revised 05/14)*

**Section 19. Grievance Committee’s Review of an Ethics Complaint**

**A. Initial action upon receipt of an ethics complaint**

Upon receipt of an ethics complaint from the Professional Standards Administrator, the Chairperson of the Grievance Committee shall review the complaint. Any evidence and documentation attached will be considered only to the extent necessary to determine whether a complaint will be referred for hearing. The Chairperson may assign one or more members of the Grievance Committee to review the complaint and to make any necessary evaluation. The member(s) may, if necessary, gather additional information on the matters complained of from the complainant if additional information is necessary to determine whether a complaint will be referred for hearing. The complaint shall be provided to the assigned members by the Professional Standards Administrator upon instruction from the Chairperson. *(Amended 11/15)*

The reviewer(s), if appointed, shall complete the assignment promptly and prepare a report and recommendation for the Grievance Committee. After reviewing the report, the Chairperson shall schedule a meeting of the Grievance Committee and may instruct the Professional Standards Administrator to provide members of the Grievance Committee with copies of the case file including the reviewer’s report, if any. At the option of the Board, such file may be sent to the Grievance Committee members prior to the meeting or may be distributed at the meeting. *(Amended 4/94)*

**B. Consideration of an ethics complaint by the Grievance Committee**

In reviewing an ethics complaint, the Grievance Committee shall consider the following:

(1) Is the ethics complaint acceptable in form as received by the Committee? If not in proper form, the Chairperson may request that the Professional Standards Administrator contact the complainant to advise that the complaint must be submitted in proper form. *(Revised 11/15)*
NOTE: If deemed appropriate by the Chairperson, a member of the Grievance Committee may be assigned to contact the complainant and to provide procedural assistance to amend the complaint or resubmit a new complaint in proper form and with proper content. The Grievance Committee member providing such assistance shall ensure that only procedural assistance is provided to the complainant, and that the complainant understands that the member is not representing the complainant or advocating on behalf of the complainant. (Revised 11/15)

(2) Are all necessary parties named in the complaint?

(3) Was the complaint filed within one hundred eighty (180) days of the time that the alleged offense and facts relating to it could have been known by the complainant in the exercise of reasonable diligence or within one hundred eighty (180) days after the conclusion of the transaction or event, whichever is later? (Revised 5/11)

(4) Is the respondent named in the complaint a member of the Board, and was the respondent a member of any Board at the time of the alleged offense?

(5) Is litigation or any government agency investigation or other action pending related to the same transaction or event?

   (a) If criminal litigation is pending related to the same transaction or event, the Grievance Committee shall cease its considerations and instruct the Professional Standards Administrator to hold the file pending until such time as the criminal litigation is concluded. A report shall be made to the Board President. (Revised 5/11)

   (b) If civil litigation is pending related to the same transaction or event, the Grievance Committee shall instruct the Professional Standards Administrator to have Board legal counsel review the complaint filed and advise if any hearing should proceed (presuming the matter would otherwise warrant a hearing), with counsel considering the following: (Revised 5/11)

   (1) similarity of factors giving rise to pending litigation or regulatory or administrative proceeding and the ethics complaint

   (2) degree to which resolution of the pending civil litigation or regulatory or administrative proceeding could make consideration of the ethics complaint unnecessary

   (3) degree to which pending litigation or regulatory or administrative proceeding would delay prompt disposition of the ethics complaint

   (4) the nature of the alleged violation and the extent to which it could impact on cooperation with other Board Members

   (5) the assurance of Board legal counsel that consideration of an ethics complaint would not deprive the respondent of due process

   (6) Is there any reason to conclude that the Board would be unable to provide an impartial Hearing Panel?

   (7) Are the specific Articles cited in the complaint appropriate in light of the facts provided? Should additional Articles be cited? Should certain Standards of Practice be cited in support of the Articles charged? Are any inappropriate Articles cited?
If the facts alleged in the complaint were taken as true on their face, is it possible that a violation of the Code of Ethics occurred? Complainants are not required to prove their case when initially filing an ethics complaint. A complaint may not be dismissed for lack of evidence if the allegation(s), taken as true on their face, could constitute a violation of the Code of Ethics and the complaint is in an otherwise acceptable form. (Revised 11/15)

If all relevant questions have been answered to the satisfaction of the Grievance Committee, and the allegations, if taken as true, could constitute a violation of the Code of Ethics, the Grievance Committee shall refer the complaint to the Professional Standards Committee for a hearing by an ethics Hearing Panel. (Revised 11/15)

C. Appeal from the decision of the Grievance Committee related to an ethics complaint

If the Grievance Committee dismisses the complaint, the notice of dismissal shall specify the reason(s) for dismissing and the complainant may appeal the dismissal to the Board of Directors within ten (10) days from transmittal of the dismissal notice using Form #E-22, Appeal of Grievance Committee (or Hearing Panel) Dismissal of Ethics Complaint. The complaint and any attachments to the complaint cannot be revised, modified, or supplemented. The complainant may, however, explain in writing why the complainant disagrees with the Grievance Committee’s conclusion that the complaint should be dismissed. If the Grievance Committee deletes an Article or Articles from an ethics complaint, the complainant may also appeal to the Board of Directors using Form #E-22, Appeal of Grievance Committee (or Hearing Panel) Dismissal of Ethics Complaint. The Directors (or a panel of Directors or the Executive Committee) shall consider only the information and documents considered by the Grievance Committee, together with the complainant’s rationale for challenging the dismissal, and render its decision, which shall be final. The parties are not present at the meeting at which the appeal is considered. Appeals of dismissals shall be heard at the Directors’ next regularly scheduled meeting or a special meeting designated for that purpose, but no later than ten (10) days after the date of receipt of the appeal. The Directors’ decision shall be transmitted to the parties within five (5) days from the date of the decision. (Revised 11/15)

D. Criminal or civil litigation or regulatory/administrative proceedings coming to light after an ethics complaint has been referred to an ethics Hearing Panel

If after review of an ethics complaint by the Grievance Committee and referral of the complaint for hearing, it is subsequently discovered that civil litigation or regulatory or administrative proceedings related to the same transaction or event are pending, the Hearing Panel Chair, in consultation with association legal counsel, will determine whether the hearing will proceed or, alternatively, whether the complaint will be held in abeyance pending resolution of the litigation or regulatory or administrative proceedings. If after review of an ethics complaint by the Grievance Committee and referral of the complaint for hearing it is discovered that criminal proceedings related to the same transaction or event are pending, the complaint will be held in abeyance pending conclusion of the criminal proceedings. (Adopted 5/11)
Part Four—The Ethics Hearing

Section 20. Initiating an Ethics Hearing

(a) Any person, whether a member or not, having reason to believe that a member is guilty of any conduct subject to disciplinary action, may file a complaint in writing in their own name with the Professional Standards Administrator, dated and signed by complainant, stating the facts on which it is based (Form #E-1, Complaint, Part Six), provided that the complaint is filed within one hundred eighty (180) days after the facts constituting the matter complained of could have been known in the exercise of reasonable diligence or within one hundred eighty (180) days after the conclusion of the transaction or event, whichever is later. (Revised 5/13)

The procedures for processing complaints alleging violations of an Association’s bylaws prohibiting harassment are available on-line at Realtor.org, and those procedures do not involve an Association’s Grievance Committee, Professional Standards Committee, or Board of Directors. (Adopted 11/11)

Suspension of filing deadlines: If the Board’s informal dispute resolution processes (e.g., ombudsman, mediation, etc.) are invoked or initiated by a complainant (or potential complainant) with respect to conduct that becomes the subject of a subsequent ethics complaint, the one hundred eighty (180) day filing deadline shall be suspended beginning with the date of the complainant’s (or potential complainant’s) request for informal dispute resolution service or assistance and shall resume when the informal dispute resolution procedures are concluded or terminated. Questions about when informal dispute resolution began or ended will be determined by the Board President or the President’s designee. The filing deadline shall also be suspended during any period when the respondent does not hold Realtor® or Realtor-Associate® membership. (Amended 11/12)

The Professional Standards Administrator shall promptly refer any complaint to the Chairperson of the Grievance Committee, who may designate one or more members of the Grievance Committee to review the complaint and report their findings to the Grievance Committee for its determination as to whether to (1) dismiss the complaint as unworthy of further consideration, (2) refer it back to the complainant as appropriate for arbitration rather than disciplinary action, or (3) refer it back to the Professional Standards Administrator to schedule for hearing. This review process may include additional information from the complainant other than the written complaint itself only if necessary to determine whether a complaint will be referred for hearing. The Grievance Committee may, if it thinks it appropriate, send a copy of the complaint to the party complained of and require the respondent to furnish it with a response before making its determination only if the committee is in need of additional information pertaining to the questions in Section 19, Grievance Committee’s Review of an Ethics Complaint, and the complainant cannot provide such information. (See Form #E-4, Grievance Committee Request for Information [Ethics Complaint] and Form #E-5, Response to Grievance Committee Request for Information, Part Six of this Manual). In such an instance, the party complained of shall be advised that failure to respond to the Grievance Committee’s request may result in the complaint being forwarded for a hearing and may subject the respondent to a charge of having violated Article 14 for failing to submit pertinent facts to an appropriate tribunal. The function of the Grievance Committee is to make only such preliminary review and evaluation of the complaint as are required to determine whether the complaint warrants further consideration by a Hearing Panel of the Professional Standards Committee. If the facts alleged in the complaint could constitute a violation of the Code of Ethics, if taken as true on their face, the Grievance Committee is obligated to make a referral for hearing if the complaint is otherwise in acceptable form. The Grievance Committee does not conduct hearings, does not determine if a violation of the Code of Ethics has occurred, and does not dismiss
ethics complaints because of lack of evidence. A complainant is not required to prove their case upon submission of their ethics complaint. *(Revised 11/15)*

When Grievance Committees refer ethics complaints and arbitration requests for hearing, hearing panel chairs can determine if questions about

(1) whether ethics complaints and arbitration requests are timely filed,

(2) whether arbitrable issues exist,

(3) whether arbitration requests are too legally complex to be fairly arbitrated, and

(4) other administrative issues

will be addressed through a pre-hearing meeting of the hearing panel or at the outset of the hearing prior to testimony relating to the ethics complaint or arbitration request commencing. If these matters rise during a hearing, the hearing panel will address them at that time.

Dismissals of ethics complaints and arbitration requests by hearing panels can be appealed to the Board of Directors on the same bases as dismissals by the Grievance Committee.

Where such issues are considered at a pre-hearing meeting of the hearing panel, the chair will determine whether the parties may be present, and the extent to which their participation will be permitted. *(Revised 05/14)*

In the event the complaint is from a client, customer, or a member of the general public, and the Grievance Committee determines that the complaint is vague, overly general, does not allege violations of specific Articles, or is otherwise insufficient on its face, a member of the Grievance Committee may be assigned by the Chairperson of the Grievance Committee to assist the complainant in preparing the complaint in proper form. The member providing such assistance shall not participate in any consideration or deliberations of the Grievance Committee with respect to the matter and are not and will not act as the complainant’s advocate or representative. In such cases, the respondent shall receive the revised complaint with the original complaint and all other supporting documentation provided by the complainant incorporated as an appendix. *(Revised 11/14)*

(b) Upon its own motion the Grievance Committee may, and upon instruction of the Directors must, review the actions of any member when there is reason to believe that the member’s conduct may be subject to disciplinary action, and, if the evidence of unethical conduct warrants a hearing, shall prepare a complaint, refer it to the Professional Standards Administrator, and designate one of its members to present the case at the subsequent hearing on its behalf as complainant. However, no member of the Grievance Committee shall serve as a member of the Hearing Panel. *(Revised 4/94)*
(c) Any action by the Grievance Committee dismissing the complaint as unworthy of further consideration may be appealed to the Board of Directors within ten (10) days from transmittal of the dismissal notice using Form #E-22, Appeal of Grievance Committee Dismissal of Ethics Complaint. The materials and information which were available to the Grievance Committee when the committee made its decision will be presented to the Directors and considered with the appeal. The complainant and respondent do not have the right to appear at the hearing before the Directors. The complaint and any attachments to the complaint may not be revised, modified, or supplemented. The complainant may, however, explain in writing why the complainant disagrees with the Grievance Committee’s conclusion that the complaint should be dismissed. Appeals of dismissals shall be heard at the Directors’ next regularly scheduled meeting or a special meeting designated for that purpose, but no later than ten (10) days after receipt of the appeal. The Directors’ decision will be transmitted to the parties within five (5) days from the date of the decision. If the Directors determine that the complaint or portions of the complaint were improperly dismissed by the Grievance Committee, they shall refer the complaint or the appropriate portions of the complaint to the Professional Standards Committee for a hearing. If referred for hearing, the Professional Standards Administrator shall at that time provide a copy of the response to the complainant if one had been submitted for review by the Grievance Committee. (Revised 11/15)

The President may appoint a panel of Directors, acting on behalf of the Board of Directors, to hear the appeal. Any appeal panel so appointed must be composed of at least five (5) Directors or a quorum of the Board of Directors, whichever is less. (Alternatively, the appeal may be heard by the Board’s Executive Committee.) The decision of the appeal panel (or the Executive Committee) is final and binding and is not subject to further review by the Board of Directors. (Revised 11/91)

(d) If the complaint asserts multiple allegations of unethical conduct and the Grievance Committee determines that one or more of the allegations would not, under any circumstances, constitute a violation, that portion of the complaint may be dismissed while the balance of the complaint is forwarded for a hearing before a Hearing Panel of the Professional Standards Committee. However, the complainant has the right to appeal the dismissal to the Board of Directors using Form #E-22, Appeal of Grievance Committee Dismissal of Ethics Complaint. The complaint and any attachments to the complaint cannot be revised, modified, or supplemented. The complainant may, however, explain in writing why the complainant disagrees with the Grievance Committee’s dismissal. (Revised 5/06)

If the Grievance Committee feels that the respondent’s alleged conduct may be the basis for a violation but that an inappropriate Article(s) has been cited, the Grievance Committee may amend the complaint by deleting any inappropriate Article(s) and by adding any appropriate Article(s) and/or individuals to the complaint. If the complainant disagrees with the deletion of an Article(s) from the complaint, the complainant may appeal to the Board of Directors requesting that the original complaint be forwarded to a Hearing Panel as filed using Form #E-22, Appeal of Grievance Committee Dismissal of Ethics Complaint. The complaint and any attachments to the complaint cannot be revised, modified, or supplemented. The complainant may, however, explain in writing why the complainant disagrees with the Grievance Committee’s dismissal. If the Grievance Committee determines that an Article(s) or an additional respondent(s) should be added to the complaint and the complainant will not agree to the addition, the Grievance Committee may file its own complaint and both complaints will be heard simultaneously by the same Hearing Panel. (Revised 5/06)
(e) If an ethics respondent resigns or otherwise causes membership in the Board to terminate after an ethics complaint is filed but before final action is taken by the Board of Directors, the Professional Standards Administrator shall cause the complaint to be forwarded to any other Board in which the respondent continues to hold membership. If the respondent does not hold membership in another Board, or if the Professional Standards Administrator is unable to determine if the respondent holds membership in another Board, the complaint shall continue to be processed until the decision of the association with respect to disposition of the complaint is final consistent with Section 20, Initiating an Ethics Hearing, or Section 23, Action of the Board of Directors, Code of Ethics and Arbitration Manual.* If an ethics respondent resigns or otherwise causes membership in all Boards to terminate before an ethics complaint is filed alleging unethical conduct occurred while the respondent was a REALTOR®, the complaint, once filed, shall be processed until the decision of the association with respect to disposition of the complaint is final consistent with Section 20, Initiating an Ethics Hearing, or Section 23, Action of the Board of Directors, Code of Ethics and Arbitration Manual.* In any instance where an ethics hearing is held subsequent to an ethics respondent’s resignation or membership termination, any discipline ratified by the Board of Directors shall be held in abeyance until such time as the respondent rejoins an association of REALTORS®. In any instance where a complaint is transferred to another Board, the complainant shall be so advised. (Revised 5/16)

*Failure of the respondent to attend will not prevent a hearing from being held.

**NOTE:** Adoption of the following expedited ethics administration procedures (Sections 20 [f-q]), is at the option of each Member Board.

(f) Any person, whether a member or not, having reason to believe that a member is guilty of any conduct subject to disciplinary action, may file a complaint in writing with the Professional Standards Administrator, dated and signed by the complainant, stating the facts on which it is based (Form #1, Complaint, Part Nine); provided, however, that the complaint must be filed within one hundred eighty (180) days after the facts constituting the matter complained of could have been known in the exercise of reasonable diligence. (Revised 11/97)

(g) Any complaint alleging a violation of the Code of Ethics by a Board Member or by any other person subject to the disciplinary authority of the Board, will be scheduled for review by the Grievance Committee (or by a panel thereof) at the next regular meeting. It will be a matter of local determination whether responses will be sought or accepted in instances where these optional enforcement procedures are utilized. (Revised 11/04)

(h) If the Grievance Committee concludes that the complaint is vague, overly general, does not allege violations of specific Article(s), or is otherwise insufficient on its face, the complaint shall be referred back to the complainant accompanied by the Grievance Committee’s initial conclusions. The complainant shall be free to refile an amended complaint.

(i) If the Grievance Committee concludes that the allegations in the complaint, if taken as true, could not support a finding that the Code of Ethics had been violated, then the complaint shall be dismissed and the complainant advised of the dismissal and of their right to appeal the dismissal to the Board of Directors using Form #E-22, Appeal of Grievance Committee (or Hearing Panel) Dismissal of Ethics Complaint. The complaint and any attachments to the complaint cannot be revised, modified, or supplemented. The complainant may, however, explain in writing why the complainant disagrees with the Grievance Committee’s conclusion that the complaint should be dismissed. (Revised 5/06)
(j) If the Grievance Committee concludes that the complaint alleges conduct which, if taken as true, could support a possible violation of the Code of Ethics, then staff or counsel, or in the absence of staff or counsel, the Grievance Committee Chairperson will ascertain whether or not there were any prior violations of the Code of Ethics in the past three (3) years. The complaint will then be sent to the respondent together with a response form (Form #E-20, Notice to Respondent [Ethics] and Optional Waiver of Right to Hearing), which will advise the respondent of the complaint; which will ask the respondent to affirm that the respondent has not been found in violation of the Code of Ethics by any Board within the past three (3) years; which will give the respondent an opportunity to waive the right to a hearing by acknowledging the conduct alleged in the complaint and by agreeing to accept discipline which will not exceed a fine in excess of $15,000 or suspension for a period of thirty (30) days should a violation of the Code ultimately be determined. Any response provided cannot contest the facts stated in the complaint but may offer information in mitigation of any discipline that might be imposed. (Revised 05/14)

(k) Alternatively, the respondent has the right to a hearing pursuant to the procedures established in Part Four of this Manual.

(l) If the respondent does not acknowledge the conduct alleged in the complaint or waive the right to a hearing, or does not respond within five (5) days from transmittal of the complaint, a hearing shall be scheduled in the manner provided for in Section 21, Ethics Hearing, beginning with the five (5) day deadline for the Professional Standards Committee chair to select a hearing date. (Revised 5/16)

(m) If the respondent waives the right to a hearing and acknowledges the conduct alleged in the complaint, such elections will be affirmatively indicated on the response form which shall be returned to the Grievance Committee Chairperson (or staff or counsel) within five (5) days from transmittal of the complaint to the respondent. The Grievance Committee Chairperson (or staff or counsel) will verify the respondent’s assertions as to prior violations in the past three (3) years. In the absence of any prior violation within the past three (3) year period, the complaint will be referred to a panel of the Professional Standards Committee for consideration within thirty (30) days. The panel shall be appointed pursuant to the procedures established elsewhere in this Manual. (Revised 11/14)

(n) The panel of the Professional Standards Committee will meet in executive session. Neither the complainant nor the respondent will be present. Board staff and counsel will be present as deemed necessary by the Chairperson. The initial question to be determined by the panel will be whether the allegations in the complaint, as acknowledged and agreed to by the respondent, support a violation of one or more of the Articles of the Code of Ethics. The panel shall prepare a brief, concise decision which shall include findings of fact, conclusions, and a recommendation for discipline if a violation is found. Discipline that may be imposed, if a violation is determined, may only include one or more of the following: letter of warning or reprimand, mandatory attendance at a relevant educational program, suspension for thirty (30) days, or a fine not in excess of $15,000. In addition to imposing discipline, the Hearing Panel can also recommend to the Board of Directors that the disciplined member be put on probation. Probation is not a form of discipline. (Revised 11/14)

(o) The decision of the panel will be filed with the Professional Standards Administrator the day of the hearing, or no later than forty-eight (48) hours following the hearing.* The procedures for dissemination of the decision shall be those in Section 22(b), Decision of a Hearing Panel. Appeals of decisions shall be pursuant to Section 23, Action of the Board of Directors, of this Manual. (Revised 11/14)

*The Hearing Panel’s decision shall be considered final only when it is in writing and signed by members of the panel following their personal review and any review by legal counsel which may be required.
(p) The expedited Code enforcement procedures established in the *Code of Ethics and Arbitration Manual* are available only to Realtors® holding primary or secondary membership in the Board enforcing the Code or other membership duties and are not available to Realtors® who become subject to the Code of Ethics or other membership duties pursuant to their participation in or access to MLS under board of choice. *(Adopted 11/96)*

(q) Where an ethics complaint names more than one respondent, the expedited Code enforcement procedures are available only when all respondents are eligible and all respondents elect to utilize these procedures. *(Adopted 11/98)*

**Section 21. Ethics Hearing**

(a) After a complaint alleging a violation of membership duty (duties) has been referred to the Professional Standards Administrator by the Grievance Committee with instruction to arrange a hearing, the Professional Standards Administrator shall serve a copy of the complaint on each party complained of (hereafter called the respondent) and notify the respondent that the respondent may file a written reply (Forms #E-2, Notice to Respondent [Ethics], and #E-3, Reply [Ethics], *Part Six*) with the Professional Standards Administrator within ten (10) days of the request for response being transmitted. A Hearing Panel may accept late filing of the reply at its discretion. The Professional Standards Administrator may require the complainant to supply the necessary number of copies of the complaint and the respondent to supply the necessary number of copies of the reply, except that such requirement shall not be made of a complainant who is not a Board Member. *(Revised 11/14)*

The Professional Standards Administrator will inform the Professional Standards Committee Chair of the referral and the Chair shall select a hearing date no later than five (5) days after the Grievance Committee’s decision to forward for hearing is final. *(Revised 5/16)*

(b) The Professional Standards Administrator shall provide a copy of the reply (if any) to the complainant within five (5) days from receipt of the response. The Professional Standards Administrator shall also provide copies of the complaint and reply (if any) to the Board President and Chairperson of the Professional Standards Committee, or notify each that no reply has been filed (unless the President and/or Professional Standards Chairperson indicate that they do not wish to receive copies or be so informed). *(Amended 5/16)*
(c) The Professional Standards Administrator shall, concurrently with the notification that the ethics complaint has been referred for hearing, transmit to each of the parties a list of the names of members of the Professional Standards Committee (See Part One, Section 2, (a) through (f), Qualification for Tribunal, Part Six, Form #E-6, Notice of Right to Challenge Tribunal Members, and Form #E-7, Challenge to Qualification by Parties to Panel Members). If a party challenges one or more members, the challenge form must be returned to the Professional Standards Administrator no later than five (5) days after the date the challenge forms were transmitted to the party. No later than five (5) days after the challenge forms are due, the Professional Standards Committee Chairperson shall appoint, from the names not successfully challenged by either party, three (3) or more members for a Hearing Panel, a majority of whom shall be Realtors®. The Chairperson shall also select one of the panel members to serve as Chairperson of the Hearing Panel. Any Hearing Panel must have an odd number of members. If the complainant or respondent is a Realtor-Associate® or a Realtor® other than a principal, at least one member of the Hearing Panel shall be a Realtor-Associate® or a Realtor® other than a principal. It shall be a membership duty of anyone so appointed to serve on the Hearing Panel unless disqualified. A party will be deemed to have waived all objections to any person whose name is not challenged. If challenge to members of the Professional Standards Committee results in an insufficient number of members to constitute a panel, the President may appoint other qualified Board Members to serve as panel members. The Chairperson of the Professional Standards Committee (or the Hearing Panel itself) shall designate the time and place of the hearing, and the Professional Standards Administrator shall notify the complainant and the respondent (Form #E-8, Official Notice of Hearing, Part Six) minimally twenty-one (21) days before the hearing. An appearance at a hearing without objection by a party will constitute a waiver of any defective notice of hearing. Parties’ requests for continuances shall only be granted when all parties mutually agree to a subsequent specified date, or when the hearing panel chair determines that denying the request for continuance would deny the requestor a fair hearing. *(Revised 11/14)*

(d) The Chairperson of the panel shall prescribe any procedure for the hearing not inconsistent with these provisions.**

---

*Form #E-9, Outline of Procedure for Ethics Hearing, Part Six, should accompany the Official Notice of Hearing or be otherwise provided to the parties prior to the hearing.

**See Part Five, Conduct of an Ethics Hearing.
Complainants may withdraw their complaints at any time prior to adjournment of the ethics hearing. However, if complainant withdraws the complaint after transmission of the Grievance Committee’s decision to forward the complaint to a hearing and prior to adjournment of the ethics hearing, the complainant may not resubmit the complaint on the same matter. If complainant withdraws the complaint before transmission of the Grievance Committee’s decision to forward the complaint to a hearing, the complainant may resubmit the complaint on the same matter so long as it is filed within the 180-day filing deadline as defined in this Manual. If a complaint is withdrawn by the complainant after the Grievance Committee determines the complaint requires a hearing, it will be referred back to the Grievance Committee to determine whether a potential violation of the public trust (as defined in Article IV, Section 2 of the National Association’s Bylaws) may have occurred. Only where the Grievance Committee determines a potential violation of the public trust may have occurred may the Grievance Committee proceed as the complainant. *(Amended 5/16)*

The complaint, and response, if any, shall be provided to Hearing Panel members prior to the hearing. Such time period shall be ____________ (as determined by the Board of Directors) and shall be adhered to for all hearings. *(Amended 4/91)*

**f) Amendment of complaint:**

(1) At any time prior to the hearing of the complaint, the complainant may file an amended complaint with the Professional Standards Administrator (excluding amendments pertaining to an Article previously dismissed by the Grievance Committee relating to previously charged respondents). If an amended complaint is filed prior to the hearing, the respondent shall be notified, given a copy, and provided the opportunity to file an amended response. At any time prior to the hearing of the complaint, the Hearing Panel may name the Realtor® principal as a respondent. Complaints cannot be amended to add, or substitute, other individuals as complainants except as mutually agreed to by the parties. *(Revised 5/15)*

(2) At any time during the hearing, the complaint may be amended either by the complainant or upon motion of the Hearing Panel to add previously uncited Articles or additional respondents. Neither the complainant nor the Hearing Panel may bar the other from making such amendments. Amendments to include Articles previously dismissed by the Grievance Committee may be made only on the motion of the Hearing Panel. In such event, the hearing, with the concurrence of the respondent, may proceed uninterrupted or be reconvened on a date certain, not more than fifteen (15) days from the hearing date unless a “late” witness is allowed and then not less than five (5) days from the hearing date. If the respondent knowingly waives his right to the adjournment, the record should reflect the fact that the respondent was aware of the right to an adjournment but chose to proceed with the hearing without interruption on the basis of the amended complaint. If the hearing is adjourned to be reconvened at a later time, the amended complaint shall be filed in writing, signed by the complainant or by the Chairperson of the Hearing Panel, and shall be promptly served on the respondent as in all other cases provided herein. However, in any instance where a Hearing Panel amends an ethics complaint pending before it, the respondent(s) shall be given the choice of proceeding before the same Hearing Panel (either without interruption or when reconvened pursuant to the procedures established elsewhere in this Section) or having the complaint considered in a new hearing before a different Hearing Panel.
To prevent the appearance of bias, at no time during or after an ethics hearing may the Hearing Panel or any appellate body refer concerns regarding potentially unethical conduct to the Grievance Committee. This is based on the premise that the fundamental right and primary responsibility to bring potentially unethical conduct to the attention of the Grievance Committee rests with the parties and others with firsthand knowledge. This prohibition in no way limits or restricts the Hearing Panel from amending pending complaints as otherwise provided for in this section. (Amended 11/16)

(3) In the event that the complaint scheduled for a hearing is from a member of the public who refuses or is unable to attend the hearing and who has not requested a continuance in writing or who has not been granted a continuance, the complaint shall be referred back to the Grievance Committee. If the Grievance Committee determines that there is sufficient information for a Hearing Panel to consider (i.e., that there is clear, strong, and convincing proof), the complaint shall be amended to name the Grievance Committee as complainant and the hearing shall be continued to a new date. The respondent shall be provided with a copy of the amended complaint in such cases. (Revised 5/12)

If the Grievance Committee determines that there is insufficient information for a Hearing Panel to consider, the complaint shall be dismissed.

In the event the complaint scheduled for hearing is from a Realtor® or Realtor-Associate® who has not requested a continuance in writing or who has not been granted a continuance, and who refuses or is unable to attend the hearing, the complainant shall be advised that refusal to participate in the hearing, absent a satisfactory reason, may result in a charge that the complainant has violated Article 14’s obligation to place all pertinent facts before an appropriate tribunal of the Board. (Revised 5/12)

If the Realtor® or Realtor-Associate® complainant continues to refuse a duly noticed request to appear, or if the complainant is excused from appearing for reasons deemed valid by the Hearing Panel, the hearing shall not take place, but rather the complaint shall be referred back to the Grievance Committee. If the Grievance Committee determines that there is sufficient information for a Hearing Panel to consider (i.e., that there is clear, strong, and convincing proof), the complaint shall be amended to name the Grievance Committee as complainant and the hearing shall be continued to a new date. The respondent shall be provided with a copy of the amended complaint in such cases. (Revised 11/98)

(g) In the event that the respondent fails to appear at a duly noticed hearing without first obtaining a continuance or adjournment thereof, the Hearing Panel may proceed with the hearing in the respondent’s absence and shall reach its decision based on the evidence made available at the hearing. Thereafter, all other procedures shall follow as hereinafter provided.

Where an ethics hearing takes place in a respondent’s absence, the respondent is still entitled to be represented by counsel. Counsel may make opening and closing statements, call witnesses, cross-examine witnesses called by other parties, and introduce affidavits, documents, and other admissible relevant evidence. Counsel may not testify to events and facts of which counsel has no first hand knowledge. Hearing Panels should be instructed by the Chair that counsel’s arguments do not constitute testimony. (Adopted 5/99)
Upon notice by the Professional Standards Administrator, the parties shall with diligence present to the panelists in writing such statements and proof which they deem necessary to support their positions. Proof may be submitted in the form of affidavits or otherwise. The Hearing Panel may require that statements be verified by affidavits or that accuracy or authenticity of any documents or other papers submitted be verified by affidavit. At the hearing, the panelists shall receive any further written statements, documents, or other papers, shall hear oral testimony and determine what personal appearances shall be made by the parties, and shall regulate the holding of hearings. The Hearing Panel may receive and consider any evidence it deems material and proper, including evidence of experts. Each party is responsible for the expenses of expert witnesses he calls. Parties to the ethics complaint shall be entitled to have counsel present at any hearing. Each party is responsible for the expenses of his respective counsel. (Adopted 11/96)

Section 22. Decision of Hearing Panel

(a) The decision of the Hearing Panel shall be by a simple majority vote and in writing (Form #E-11, Decision [Ethics], Part Six and the Professional Standards Training Guide) and shall contain findings of fact and a statement of the disciplinary action recommended, if any. Under no circumstances can the Board award money “damages” in an ethics proceeding. The decision shall include a clear, concise, and objective recitation of the specific facts upon which the Hearing Panel based its conclusion. Such decision shall not be disclosed during the ethics proceeding, or any appeal, to any persons except the Directors, the complainant, the respondent, Board legal counsel, and the Professional Standards Administrator. However, failure of confidentiality shall not invalidate the decision. The decision shall be filed with the Professional Standards Administrator the day of the hearing, or no later than forty-eight (48) hours following the hearing.**
(Revised 11/14)

*Such hearings should be conducted according to Part Five, Conduct of an Ethics Hearing.

**The Hearing Panel’s decision shall be considered final only when it is in writing and signed by members of the panel following their personal review and any review by legal counsel which may be required.

(a) Copies of the decisions disseminated pursuant to these procedures shall be complete and unedited unless an Association, by affirmative action of its Board of Directors, adopts procedures under which decisions presented to the Board of Directors for ratification will not include the names of the parties. The dissenting opinion should also be provided to the parties. In the event the respondent is found in violation, the Hearing Panel will consider all records of previous violations and sanctions imposed, whether by the current or by any other Board or Association, in the member’s file in determining discipline, and the rationale for the current disciplinary action can be provided to the parties and the Directors as part of the decision. The Hearing Panel’s consideration will include whether prior disciplinary matters involve discipline that was held in abeyance and that will be triggered by a subsequent violation (including the matter currently under consideration by the Hearing Panel). (Amended 5/17)

(b) The Professional Standards Administrator shall transmit a copy of the decision to the complainant and respondent within five (5) days after the Professional Standards Administrator has received the Hearing Panel’s decision in writing, except that reasonable delay shall not invalidate the Board’s procedures nor the decision (e.g., when it is necessary to obtain association counsel’s review). (Revised 11/14)

(c) To avoid any appearance of bias, ethics Hearing Panels shall make no referrals of ethical concerns to the Grievance Committee. This is based on the premise that the fundamental right and primary responsibility to bring potentially unethical conduct to the attention of the Grievance Committee rests with the parties and others with firsthand knowledge. (Adopted 11/96)
Section 23. Action of the Board of Directors

(a) Within ten (10) days after the Hearing Panel’s final decision has been transmitted, the complainant or the respondent may file an appeal with the President.*** (Revised 11/14)

***Appeal is provided only from decisions rendered in hearings of alleged unethical conduct, and not from the decision of an arbitration panel. However, the Board of Directors reserves the right to review procedures of any ethics or arbitration hearing to ensure compliance with the governing documents of the Board and to rule thereon; and in arbitration hearings, a limited form of appeal is provided only in respect of alleged irregularities related to the arbitration as are alleged to have deprived the party of due process.

(b) If no appeal is filed, the Directors will adopt the Hearing Panel’s recommendation and issue its order accordingly (at its next regularly scheduled meeting or a special meeting designated for that purpose, but no later than twenty [20] days after the date the Hearing Panel’s decision was transmitted to the parties), unless: (Revised 11/14)

1. the Directors, if concerned with a possible procedural deficiency, refer the decision back to the Professional Standards Committee for a new hearing and recommendation by a different Hearing Panel; or

2. the Directors are concerned with the appropriateness of the recommendation of sanction, in which case the Directors may impose alternative discipline that does not exceed that recommended by the Hearing Panel, or may refer the decision back to the original Hearing Panel for further consideration and recommendation accompanied by the Directors’ concerns regarding the proposed discipline (Hearing Panels are not required to accept the Directors’ recommendation to increase discipline. In instances where the Hearing Panel increases discipline, the respondent(s) will have an additional twenty (20) days from the date the Hearing Panel’s revised decision has been transmitted to appeal the revised discipline); or (Revised 11/16)

3. the consequences for noncompliance with discipline are not specified, in which case the Directors must refer the decision back to the original Hearing Panel for determination of the consequences for noncompliance (in such instances, the respondent(s) will have an additional twenty (20) days from the date the Hearing Panel’s revised decision has been transmitted to appeal only the severity of the consequences for noncompliance); or (Adopted 5/16)

4. the Directors conclude the findings of fact do not support a violation of the Code of Ethics, in which case the complaint will be dismissed. In such matters, advice of Board legal counsel should be requested and considered. (Revised 11/14)
(c) All appeals must be in writing and must be accompanied by a deposit with the President in the sum of $________ (not to exceed $500). In cases where a single appeal is filed jointly by more than one party, only one filing fee may be assessed. The appeal should clearly indicate the bases on which the Hearing Panel’s decision and/or recommendation for discipline is being challenged—(1) misapplication or misinterpretation of an Article(s) of the Code of Ethics; (2) procedural deficiency or any lack of procedural due process; (3) the discipline recommended by the Hearing Panel—and set forth in reasonable detail the facts and evidence to support the bases cited. The complainant may appeal based only on alleged procedural deficiencies or other lack of procedural due process that may have deprived him of the opportunity for a full and fair hearing. The written request for appeal shall be reviewed within five (5) days after the appeal was transmitted to the Association by the Board President or the President’s designee only for the purpose of determining whether the appeal states any legitimate basis for consideration by the Board of Directors. If determined to be insufficient, it shall be returned to the appellant accompanied by an explanation and a request for additional detail to be received by the Board within five (5) days of notice. This initial administrative review is not a decision on the merits of the appeal request but is only intended to ensure compliance with the requirement that an appeal clearly set forth all bases that will be presented to the Board of Directors for their consideration. All requests for appeals received by the Board must be considered by the Board of Directors, and only those bases and issues raised in the written request for appeal may be raised by the appellant in any hearing before the Board of Directors. (Amended 11/14)

(d) When a request for appeal (as originally filed if in proper form, or as originally filed if no amendment is submitted, or as amended even if still deemed to be lacking) is received, the Professional Standards Administrator shall, within one (1) day, as originally filed or as amended, send a copy to the other party, notify all parties at least ten (10) days in advance of the time and place of hearing by the Directors (including challenge Forms #E-6 and #E-7, Part Six of this Manual), and bring the matter before the Directors for hearing at their next regular meeting or at a special meeting called by the Professional Standards Administrator for the purpose, but no later than twenty (20) days after the date of receipt of the appeal. The Professional Standards Administrator shall provide to the Directors in advance of the hearing, copies of the complaint, response, the Hearing Panel’s findings of fact and recommendation of discipline, if any, the President’s correspondence, if any, and the appeal request or amended appeal request, if any. The Directors shall be advised that the information is confidential and not to be discussed with others at any time. (Revised 11/14)

(e) At the hearing before the Directors, the Chairperson of the Hearing Panel (or the Chairperson’s designee) shall present a transcript of the case or, if there is no transcript, shall summarize the case. Either party shall be entitled to offer corrections to the summary. Either party may present to the Directors reasons why the Hearing Panel’s recommendation should be followed or not, but no new evidence shall be received (except such new evidence as may bear upon a claim of deprivation of due process), and the appeal shall be determined on the transcript or summary. (Amended 5/09)
(f) The Directors shall transmit their written decision within five (5) days of the appeal hearing. Their decision may be to adopt or modify the recommendation of the Hearing Panel, including the discipline proposed, or the Directors will dismiss the matter if they conclude the findings of fact do not support the Hearing Panel’s conclusion as to unethical conduct. The Directors, if concerned with a substantial procedural deficiency, shall refer the decision back to the Professional Standards Committee for a new hearing and recommendation by a different Hearing Panel. If, however, the Directors are concerned with the appropriateness of the recommendation of sanction, they may impose alternative discipline that does not exceed that recommended by the Hearing Panel, or may refer the decision back to the original Hearing Panel for further consideration and recommendation accompanied by the Directors’ concerns regarding the proposed discipline. In such matters, the advice of Board legal counsel should be requested and considered. *(Amended 05/15)*

(g) If the recommendation of the Hearing Panel is adopted, the money deposited by the appellant shall pass into the general treasury of the Board. If the recommendation is rejected, the deposit shall be returned to the party who made the deposit. If the recommendation is modified, the Directors shall determine the disposition of the deposit.

(h) If the recommendation of the Hearing Panel is modified, or if the charge is dismissed, the Directors shall state their reasons in writing, but failure to do so shall not invalidate the decision of the Directors. Under no circumstances may the discipline exceed that recommended by the Hearing Panel. *(Revised 5/17)*

(i) The decision of the Directors is final, and each member, by becoming and remaining a member, agrees not to seek review in any court of law in the absence of willful or wanton misconduct.

(j) Upon final action by the Directors, the President shall disseminate to the complainant, the respondent, the Chairperson and members of the Hearing Panel, Board legal counsel, the President of any other Board in which the respondent holds membership, and any governmental agency as directed by the Board of Directors such notice of the action as the President deems appropriate under the circumstances provided, however, that the nature, form, content, and extent of the notice shall be specifically approved by Board legal counsel prior to dissemination. Board Members, other than those specified, shall be notified only in respect to suspension or expulsion of membership of the Board Member unless the optional procedures established at the end of this Section have been adopted locally or unless notification is required to ensure compliance with the Board’s bylaws (e.g., where a petition for removal of an officer or director must state the reason(s) an officer or director is deemed disqualified from further service). *(Revised 11/16)*

Final ethics decisions holding Realtors® in violation of the Code of Ethics must be forwarded to the state real estate licensing authority in instances where there is reason to believe that the public trust may have been violated. The “public trust,” as used in this context, refers to demonstrated misappropriation of client or customer funds or property, willful discrimination, or fraud resulting in substantial economic harm. *(Adopted 11/99)*

**NOTE:** Boards are encouraged to publish periodic Code Enforcement Activity Reports specifying the number of complaints filed for the given period, the Articles of the Code of Ethics charged, the number of complaints dismissed by the Grievance Committee, the number of violations of particular Articles, the number of cases resulting in violations or, conversely, no violations, the number of cases in which sanctions were imposed, the range of sanctions imposed, and other appropriate information. *(See Form #E-17, Ethics Activity Report).*
With respect to arbitration, such report could include the number of arbitration requests received, the number of arbitration requests dismissed without hearing, the number of mediations conducted, the number of arbitration hearings held, and other relevant information, provided that no Code Enforcement Activity Report shall include the names of individuals or firms. (Revised 11/00)

(k) Any discipline imposed that requires an action on the part of the disciplined member should also indicate any additional penalties that may be automatically invoked for failure to comply by the date specified. In the absence of such a provision, failure to comply with the discipline imposed should not be considered grounds for an additional ethics hearing, but rather should constitute the basis for consideration by the Board of Directors with regard to any additional action required to ensure compliance with the original discipline imposed. In the event that additional penalties are contemplated, the party failing to comply with the discipline originally imposed should have the opportunity to appear before the Board of Directors to explain the failure to comply. Absent an explanation acceptable to the Directors, additional discipline, including possible suspension or expulsion from membership, may be imposed in a manner consistent with the procedures established in the Code of Ethics and Arbitration Manual of the Board, and the notice of the Directors should include a date by which any proposed discipline will be imposed or by which implementation of sanction shall automatically occur.

(l) If the respondent is currently on probation as the result of an earlier proceeding, the Directors, upon reviewing the findings of fact and recommendation for discipline resulting from the subsequent hearing, shall also determine whether to impose the discipline that was held in abeyance during the probationary period. The Directors shall consider whether the subsequent ethics violation occurring during the probationary period was minor, inadvertent, or otherwise unrelated to the original violation in making their determination. (Adopted 5/87)

(m) Appeals to the Board of Directors may be heard by a panel of Directors appointed by the President for that purpose (or, alternatively, by the Board’s Executive Committee). Five (5) Directors or a quorum of the Board of Directors, whichever is less, shall constitute such an appeal panel, which shall act on behalf of the Board of Directors. The decision of the appeal panel (or Executive Committee) shall be final and binding and shall not be subject to further review by the Board of Directors. (Revised 11/91)

NOTE: Adoption of the following optional procedures to permit publication of the names of Code of Ethics violators after a second violation occurs within a three (3) year period is at the discretion of each Member Board. These procedures may not be utilized unless the Member Board has adopted them.

(n) If the respondent is found in violation of the Code of Ethics a second time within three (3) years, the respondent’s name, the fact that the respondent has been found in violation of the Code of Ethics, the Article(s) violated, and the discipline imposed will be published in the official communication vehicle of the Board. Such publication shall not include the name of the firm the respondent is (or was) licensed or affiliated with. In cases where the violator’s name is similar to another member’s name, the violator’s license number or office address (or both) may also be published. (Amended 11/99)
Section 24. Initial Action by Directors

If the complainant alleges that a member has improperly refused to submit a dispute to arbitration (or mediation if required by the Board), the complaint shall not be referred to the Grievance Committee or a Hearing Panel, but shall be brought before the Board of Directors at the next regular meeting or at a special meeting called by the President for that purpose. The procedures for notices, time of notice, and hearing prescribed for matters before a Hearing Panel shall apply. The sole question of fact for the Directors to decide will be whether the respondent has failed to submit an arbitrable matter to arbitration or mediation in violation of Article 17. *(Revised 11/11)*

There can be no charge that there has been a refusal to arbitrate (or mediate if required by the Board) until the Grievance Committee determines the matter is arbitrable and of a mandatory nature and the respondent fails to submit to arbitration or mediation before the Board. *(Revised 11/11)*

Upon determination that the member has refused to arbitrate or mediate a properly arbitrable matter, the Board of Directors may direct the implementation of appropriate sanction and should, if it has reason to believe that the imposition of sanction will become the basis of litigation and a claim for damages consequent to such sanction, delay the effective date of implementing the sanction to a date following receipt by the Board of a judicial decision in a petition for declaratory relief filed by the Board to confirm the propriety of its action. *(Revised 11/11)*

On the other hand, if the complaint against the member is that, having properly submitted a dispute to arbitration or mediation, the member has refused to abide by the award or the resulting agreement, such refusal should not be referred to the Grievance Committee as a violation of the Code of Ethics unless it reflects an established pattern or practice of noncompliance with the commitment to arbitrate or mediate. A refusal to abide by an award in arbitration or any resulting agreement in mediation should be enforced in the manner set forth in Part Ten, Section 56, Enforcement.* *(Revised 11/11)*

*Refer to Appendix III to Part Ten for the rationale for use of judicial enforcement of arbitration awards when a Board Member refuses to pay an award in arbitration.

Section 25. Preliminary Judicial Determination Prior to Imposition of Discipline

If the Board of Directors has reason to believe that the imposition of a proposed sanction will become the basis of litigation and a claim for damages, it may specify that the discipline shall become effective upon entry of the final judgment of a court of competent jurisdiction in a suit by the Board for declaratory relief declaring that the discipline proposed violates no rights of the member.**

**Refer to Rationale of Declaratory Relief Procedure provided in Appendix IV to Part Four.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Summary of Time Frames Comparing “Enhanced” with “Fast Track”</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grievance Committee Review of Complaints</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Appeal of Grievance Committee Dismissal</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Appeal of Grievance Committee Dismissal Heard</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Director’s Decision on Grievance Committee Dismissal</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hearing Date Selected (and Notice of Hearing sent-Fast Track only)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Respondent Provides Response</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Copy of Response Provided to Complainant</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Challenge Forms Returned</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hearing Panel Selected</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hearing Notice Transmitted</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Notice of Witnesses and Counsel</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adjourned Hearing/Reconvening</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision of Hearing Panel Reduced to Writing and Provided to Association Executive</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transmit Decision to Parties</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Appeal Filed</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Initial Administrative Review of Appeal by President or Designee</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revised Appeal Filed</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Appeal Transmitted to other Party(ies)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Appeal Heard</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Final Decision on Appeal</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Absent an appeal, Final Action by Board of Directors on the Hearing Panel’s Recommendation</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the event the association has adopted the expedited ethics administration procedures provided in Sections 20 (f-q) of the NAR Code of Ethics and Arbitration Manual, the following time frame would apply:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Summary of Time Frames Comparing “Enhanced” with “Fast Track”</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Respondent Provides Response Waiving Right to a Hearing and</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acknowledging the Conduct Alleged in the Complaint</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ENHANCED</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within 10 days of transmission of the complaint</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*If the respondent does not acknowledge the conduct alleged in the complaint or waive the right to a hearing, or does not respond within ten days (existing) or 5 days (fast track) of transmittal of the complaint, the deadlines articulated above will resume, beginning with the 5 day deadline for the Professional Standards Committee Chair to select a hearing date.